

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,992 08/21/2003		Michael Dalpiaz	66489-28-5 7100	
7590 11/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Dykema Gossett PLLC Suite 300 West			HO, ALLEN C	
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3306			2882	

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ	D
и	y

Office Action Summary Canaminer	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Allen C. Ho 2882	Office Action Commence	10/644,992	DALPIAZ ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of term give be evaluate under the provision 3.7 CFR 1.136(i), in row event, however, may retry be termine field If NO period for regly is sponfield above, the macentum statisticing period will period and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Feature to eight with the set or detended period for regly is sponfield above, the macentum statisticing period will period and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if shrelp like, may reduce any search period time department: Set 3* CFR 1.75(4). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is finAd. 2b) □ This action is finAd. 2b) □ This action is finAd. 2c) □ This action is	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ***are SIX (9) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication. ***IR Depend for reply is specified some, the maximum statutory entrieved in agriculture of the production of the			,					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of tem may be available under the provision of 37 cFt 11/36(). In a centh, however, may a right by be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 cFt 11/36(). In a centh, however, may a right by be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 17 cFt 11/36(). Part of the development of the provision of the central provision of the state of the communication of 18 central provisions and the state of the communication, even if simply filed, may reduce any senior partner term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(6). Status 1 ∑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006. 2a)∑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ∑ Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ∑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a)∑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some *c ∑ None of: 1 ∑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Notice of Indemons Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Diretsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943). 1 ☐ No								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11. September 2006. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to 12.4 for in a subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 13.4 for il 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) A b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 A A D Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Paper No(s/Mail Dale Paper No(s/Mai	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	entember 2006						
3								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) Note of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. International Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-948)	··		secution as to the merits is					
Algorithm of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Action of References Cited (PTO-892) Action of Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Other:	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Al) Claim(s) 1-4.6-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Pap		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 11 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 12 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 13 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 14 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 15 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 16 □ Other:	Disposition of Claims							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948). Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 10 Other: 11	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-19 and 21-29 is/are rejected. 							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	election requirement.						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) O	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) O	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Solution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Solution S		_						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/644,992

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 12, 14-19, 21, 22, 24, 25, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Milnes (U. S. Patent No. 6,463,121 B1).

With regard to claims 1, 2, 9, and 15, Milnes disclosed a system for positioning dental x-ray apparatus, comprising: an input and output device (122) for interactive control (column 3, lines 47-64); a storage area (710, 725), in which at least one digitized dental x-ray image (when x-ray image comprises teeth) and information (the x-ray gantry and table positions) concerning the dental x-ray apparatus assignable to the digitized x-ray image are stored (column 4, lines 10-16); a computer interface (710), via which information can be interchanged with the dental x-ray apparatus; means (122) for selecting area in the digitized dental x-ray image; a processing unit (120, 710) which effects calculations based on the digitized dental x-ray image, the relevant information concerning the dental x-ray apparatus, and the selected area, in order to ascertain control data for controlling the dental x-ray apparatus (column 5, lines 43-55) such that the selected area is covered when a new dental x-ray image is made, the information concerning the x-ray apparatus comprises coordinates (the x-ray gantry and table positions) of a trajectory (from

the present coordinates of the present image to the new coordinates of the next image) which have been saved in relation to the digitized x-ray image (column 4, lines 10-16), the processing unit further effects calculations of the trajectory which gives knowledge of movement of the dental x-ray apparatus carried out at a certain point of time.

With regard to claim 3, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, wherein means for selecting the type of image (different portion of a patient) are provided.

With regard to claim 4, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, further comprising means (720) for positioning a patient relatively to the x-ray apparatus, wherein the control data is adapted to control the means for positioning the patient (column 5, lines 46-55).

With regard to claim 6, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, wherein the storage area includes current and/or voltage parameters saved in relation to the digitized x-ray image (x-ray tube control, Fig 8).

With regard to claim 7, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, wherein the storage area includes information concerning gray tones in the representation of image saved in relation to the digital x-ray image (This is inherent as a digital x-ray image comprises gray tone information).

With regard to claim 8, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, wherein the processing unit includes computation for determining the control data which takes into account the type of image (position of the image).

With regard to claim 11, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, further comprising means for automatically recognizing areas by pattern recognition algorithm (column 6, lines 3-63).

Art Unit: 2882

With regard to claim 12, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, wherein the selecting means are designed such that areas can be selected manually (column 3, lines 47-56).

With regard to claim 14, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1, further comprising means (930) for making a series of radiograms at different positions starting from a selected position.

With regard to claims 16 and 17, Milnes disclosed a method of positioning one of an emitter (132) and a detector (142) of a dental x-ray apparatus using an existing digitized dental xray image and information concerning the dental x-ray apparatus and assignable to the digitized dental x-ray image, comprising the steps of: loading and displaying at least one panoramic digitized dental x-ray image (315); determining coordinates of areas, with reference to the digitized dental x-ray image, which are to be depicted in another x-ray image (320); loading. information (the x-ray gantry and table positions) concerning the dental x-ray apparatus; carrying out computation (325) on the basis of the digitized x-ray image, relevant information concerning the dental x-ray apparatus, and a selected area, in order to ascertain control data which controls the dental x-ray apparatus such that the selected area can be depicted in a new dental x-ray image (column 4, lines 17-38), the information concerning the x-ray apparatus comprises coordinates (the x-ray gantry and table positions) of the trajectory (from the present coordinates of the present image to the new coordinates of the next image) which have been saved in relation to the digitized x-ray image (column 4, lines 10-16), and a segment of the trajectory is calculated on the basis of the selected area (column 5, lines 43-49), and the trajectory gives knowledge of movement of the dental x-ray apparatus carried out at a certain point of time (this is simply the definition of a trajectory).

With regard to claim 18, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, wherein the type of image (different portion of a patient) to be made by the x-ray apparatus is selected prior to the step of loading information concerning the dental x-ray apparatus.

With regard to claim 19, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, wherein the control data is adapted to control means for positioning the patient relative to the x-ray apparatus (column 5, lines 46-55).

With regard to claim 21, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, wherein the computation step includes one of a current and voltage parameters which are saved in relation to the digitized x-ray image (x-ray tube control, Fig. 8).

With regard to claim 22, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, wherein the computation for determination of the control data takes into account one of the type of examination and the purpose of diagnosis of the patient (the location of the image).

With regard to claim 24, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, wherein the computation step includes automatically recognizing areas by pattern recognition (column 6, lines 3-63).

With regard to claim 25, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, wherein the areas can be determined manually (column 3, lines 47-56).

With regard to claim 27, Milnes disclosed a method as defined in claim 16, further comprising the step of making a series of radiograms (930) at different positions starting from the selected position.

With regard to claim 28, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 11, wherein the areas are teeth (the system tracks changes in position of any object, column 6, lines 3-63).

With regard to claim 29, Milnes disclosed a method as define din claim 24, wherein the areas are teeth (the system tracks changes in position of any object, column 6, lines 3-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10, 13, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milnes (U. S. Patent No. 6,463,121 B1) as applied to claims 1 and 16 above, and further in view of Relihan et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,233,310).

With regard to claims 10 and 23, Milnes disclosed a system as defined in claim 1 and a method as defined in claim 16. However, although Milnes disclosed a storage area that includes patient-dependent data (patient management, Fig. 8), Milnes fails to teach that this information is taken into account when determining the control data.

Relihan et al. disclosed an x-ray exposure management and control system. Relihan et al. taught that control data are generated based on patient size (column 4, lines 35-55). As a result, optimal image quality is achieved (column 3, lines 57-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the control data using patient-dependent data, since a person would be motivated to obtain high-quality images of a patient.

With regard to claims 13 and 23, Milnes in combination with Relihan *et al.* disclosed a system as defined in claim 10 and a method as defined in claim 23, wherein the proceeding unit includes one of statistical and stochastic linkings (neural network) of the patient-dependent data (column 6, lines 1-49).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11 September 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that Milnes failed to disclose the information about the trajectory is stored or calculated because Milnes failed to disclose movement of the x-ray apparatus relative to the object to be x-rayed in the image already stored in the storage area. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically, Milnes disclosed that the information (the x-ray gantry and table positions) concerning the x-ray apparatus comprises coordinates of a trajectory which have been saved in relation to the digitized x-ray image (column 4, lines 10-16). Thus, every x-ray image is stored with its coordinates (the x-ray gantry and table positions). This feature is necessary because the coordinates are required to properly display the new image relative to the existing old images. In other words, a composite image (614) could not be displayed without knowing the coordinates of each individual sub-images (column 5, lines 16-21).

The applicant further argues that Milnes failed to teach that the processing unit further effects calculations of the trajectory which gives knowledge of movement of the dental x-ray apparatus carried out at a certain point of time. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the same reason given in the last office action.

Therefore, the rejections are being maintained.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2882

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen C. Ho, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882

20 November 2006